

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,469	12/14/2001	Vivek Nirkhe	MS1-928US	5249	
22801 75	90 05/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC			DINH, KI	DINH, KHANH Q	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201		500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J. J. L.			2151		
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/017,469	NIRKHE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Khanh Dinh	2151			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) 3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/017,469 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. Reopening of Prosecution After Appeal Brief or Reply Brief

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 2/28/2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The Office Action sets forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are presented for examination.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,469 Page 3

Art Unit: 2151

Claim Objections

4. Claims 13 and 24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 13, line 15 "a second network". It is unclear whether it is a different second network since (in line 11) it already referred to a second network

In claim 24, Applicant needs to remove the "." In line 10, after the phrase "...with the user in the second network" and to replace with ",".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-4, 6, 11, 13-17, 19-24, 26-39 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blakley et al., US pat. No.5,604,490 (hereafter Blakley).

As to claim 1, Blakley discloses a method for mapping a user in a heterogeneous network comprising:

receiving on a computer in a first network (a subsystem of fig.1) a user name associated with a user in the first network, mapping the user name to a user name

associated with the user in a second network (other subsystem of fig.1) and mapping the user name associated with the same user in the second network to a user identification number (user identification) associated with the user in the second network [see abstract, figs.1, 2, 3A, col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57 and col.5 lines 1-28].

As to claims 2 and 3, Blakley discloses accessing resources on a computer in the second network using the user identification number and authenticating the user after the mappings (using authentication service UAS, see col.4 lines 15-58).

As to claims 4 and 6, Blakley discloses that first network uses a personal computer based operating system and a gateway (28 fig.1) (see fig.1, col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57).

As to claim 11, Blakley discloses a computer-readable medium storing computer-executable instructions to map a user name associated with a user in a first network (a subsystem of fig.1) to a user name associated with a user in a second network (other subsystem of fig.1) and to map the user name associated with the user in the second network to a user identification number (user identification) associated with the user in the second network [see abstract, figs.1, 2, 3A, col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57 and col.5 lines 1-28].

As to claim 13, Blakley discloses a method for mapping a user in a heterogeneous

Art Unit: 2151

network comprising: receiving on a computer in a first network (a subsystem of fig.1) a user name and a password associated with a user in a second network (other cluster 1 fig.6); authenticating the user using the user name and the password to produce an authenticated user and mapping the authenticated user to a user identification number associated with the user in a second network (another subsystem of fig.1) (using user authentication service to provide security information to user based on user ID and password when user login to other subsystems or networks, see abstract, figs.1, 2, 3A, col.1 lines 42-53, col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57 and col.5 lines 1-28).

Claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 2.

As to claims 15-17, Blakley discloses the first network performing the authenticating, mapping, using a personal computer based operating system (see fig.1, col.1 lines 42-53 and col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57).

Claims 19-23 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 6-10 respectively.

As to claim 24, Blakley discloses a computer-readable medium storing computer instructions to receive on a computer network (a subsystem of fig.1) a user name and a password associated with a user in a second network (other subsystem in fig.1), to authenticate the user using the user name and the password to produce an authenticated user (using user authentication service to provide security information to

Art Unit: 2151

user based on user ID and password, see abstract, figs.1, 2, 3A, col.1 lines 42-53) and to map the authenticated user to a user identification number associated with the user in a second network (see fig.2, col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57 and col.5 lines 1-28).

As to claim 26, Blakley discloses a method for mapping a user in a heterogeneous network comprising: receiving on a computer in a second network (a subsystem of fig.1) a user identification number (User ID) associated with a user in a first network (other subsystem of fig.1) and mapping the user identification number to a user name associated with the same user in the second network, wherein the user's user identification number maps to more than one user name for the user in the heterogeneous network (using user authentication service to provide security information to user based on user ID and password, see abstract, figs.1, 2, 3A, col.1 lines 42-53, col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57 and col.5 lines 1-28).

As to claim 27, Blakley discloses accessing resources on a computer in the second network using the user name (see fig.1, col.1 lines 42-53 and col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57).

Claims 28-30 and 32-36 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 15-17 and 19-23 respectively.

Art Unit: 2151

Claims 37 and 38 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 24 and 25

respectively.

As to claims 39 and 43, Blakley discloses a method for mapping a user in a heterogeneous network comprising: receiving on a computer in a first network (a subsystem of fig.1) a user name associated with a user in the first network; mapping the user name to a user name associated with the user in a second network (other subsystem of fig.1) and mapping the user name associated with the same user in the second network to a user identification number associated with the user in the second network, wherein the mapping includes using a map on a mapping server and the mapping server maintains a default map, a simple map and/or explicit maps that provide override; wherein the user's user identification number maps to more than one user name for the user in the heterogeneous network (using user authentication service to provide security information to user based on user ID and password when user login to other subsystems or networks, see abstract, figs.1, 2, 3A, col.1 lines 42-53, col.3 line 14 to col.4 line 57 and col.5 lines 1-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2151

8. Claims 7-10, 40, 41, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakley in view of White, US pat. No.6,826,692.

As to claim 41, Blakley discloses a method for mapping a user in a heterogeneous network comprising: receiving on a computer in a first network a user name and a password associated with a user in a second network and authenticating the user using the user name and the password to produce an authenticated user; (using authentication service that handles clients' access to the clusters 1, see col.11 lines 5-64) and mapping the authenticated user to a user identification number associated with the user in a second network (other cluster of fig.6) (using user authentication service to provide security information to user based on user ID and password, see abstract, figs.1, 2, 3A, col.1 lines 42-53 and col.4 line 33 to col.5 line 36). Blakley does not specifically disclose wherein the mapping includes using a map on a mapping server and the mapping server maintains a default map, a simple map and/or explicit maps that provide override. However, White discloses the mapping includes using a map on a mapping server and the mapping server maintains a default map, a simple map and/or explicit maps that provide override (see figs.1, 2, col.7 line 63 to col.8 line 67 and col.9 lines 1-47). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Blakey's teachings into the computer system of Blakley to process data information in a communication network because it would have established a network connection, logged a user onto the

in Control Hamber: 10/017,40

Art Unit: 2151

network without returning login functions to the user workstation (see White's col.9 lines 19-65).

As to claims 40, 42 and 44, White discloses algorithms for unmapping users, mapping multiple users and/or group mapping (see figs.1, 2, col.7 line 63 to col.8 line 67 and col.9 lines 1-47). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement White's teachings into the computer system of Blakley to process data information in a communications network because it would have established a network connection, logged a user onto the network without returning login functions to the user workstation (see White's col.9 lines 19-65).

As to claims 7-10, White discloses a client, a map on a mapping server and mapping including using remote procedure calls and the remote procedure calls comprise at least one remote procedure call selected from the group consisting of getting credentials, authenticating using credentials, checking map status, and dumping maps remote procedure calls (see figs.1, 2, col.7 line 63 to col.8 line 67 and col.9 lines 1-47). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement White's teachings into the computer system of Blakley to process data information in a communications network because it would have established a network connection, logged a user onto the network without returning login functions to the user workstation (see White's col.9 lines 19-65).

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/017,469

Art Unit: 2151

9. Claims 5, 12, 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakley in view of Gudjonsson, US pat. No.6,564,261.

Blakley's teachings still applied as in item 6 above. Blakley does not specifically disclose using a graphical user interface and a UNIX based operating system.

However, Gudjonsson discloses using a graphical user interface and a UNIX based operating system (a standard GUI program with a persistent connection to the server, see col.34 lines 26-55 and col.38 lines 1-53). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Gudjonsson's teachings into the computer system of Blakley to process data information in a communications network because it would have provided program displays certain icons, buttons, dialogue boxes in its windows on the screen in a communications network and enabled communication services between users to connect to each others

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Claims 1-44 are rejected.

in a variety of network operating systems.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/017,469

Art Unit: 2151

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m.

to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number

for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh Dinh

Primary Examiner

Khanh Omh

Art Unit 2151

5/14/2006